

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,392	04/19/2004	Kenneth G. McKee	2617U.001	4828
21917 7.	590 09/15/2004		EXAMINER	
MCHALE & SLAVIN, P.A.			NICOLAS, FREDERICK C	
2855 PGA BLV	/D			
PALM BEACH	I GARDENS, FL 33410		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3754	

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		. 1 1	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$
	10/828,392	MCKEE, KENNETH G.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Frederick C. Nicolas	3754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	9 April 2004.		
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 13 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	drawn from consideration.		
·· _	ninor		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		v the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	· · · ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		• •	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -	

Application/Control Number: 10/828,392 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6,11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ray 5,248,071.

Ray discloses a sealing cap (100) for a caulking tube nozzle (80) which comprises a body member having a solid top portion and a depending annular wall as seen in Figure 2, the solid top portion and the annular wall forming an inner cavity (102), the inner cavity including a caulking tube tip engagement means and at least one sealing means (col. 4, II. 29-68), wherein the engagement means cooperates with the caulking tube tip to provide compressive engagement between the at least one sealing means and the caulking tube tip thereby preventing air from entering the caulking tube (col. 5, II. 1-19), the caulking tube tip engagement means includes internal helical threads (114, 112, 110), wherein the helical internal threads are constructed and arranged to cooperate with the caulking tube tip to allow the sealing cap and the caulking tube tip to be interlocked in an axial relationship as seen in Figure 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3754

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ray 5,248,071 in view of McIntosh 4,307,821.

Ray has taught all the features of the claimed invention except that the outer surface includes a gripping means constructed and arranged to provide gripping for rotational engagement between the caulking tube tip and the sealing cap. McIntosh teaches the use of cap (30) having a gripping means (40) on the outer surface.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize McIntosh's teaching onto Ray's cap outer surface by providing a knurled external finish as taught by McIntosh in (col. 3, II. 6-8), in order to facilitate gripping and rotation of the cap by the user.

5. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ray 5,248,071 in view of Hammes 3,480,169.

Ray has taught all the features of the claimed invention except that the at least one sealing means includes an o-ring. Hammes teaches the use of a cap (2a") having an at least one sealing means (10) within the internal cavity of the cap as seen in Figure 2.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Hammes' at least one sealing means within Ray's cap, in order to provide a tight seal therewith when the cap is threaded onto the neck, as taught by Hammes in (col. 3, II. 29-40).

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ray 5,248,071 in view of Oshida et al. 5,552,047.

Ray has taught all the features of the claimed invention except that the sealing ring comprises an elastomeric ring. Oshida et al. teach the use of a cap (9) having an elastomeric ring (17).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the elastomeric ring (17) within Ray's cap as taught by Oshida et al. in (col. 3, II. 29-34), in order to provide a fluid-tight seal.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hawley 5,104,013, Lee 4,284,213, Reed 2,815,895, Merhar 5,447,245, James 5,020,702, Cermak, III 6,481,587, Larson 5,154,308, Summons et al. 4,863,014, Summers 4,111,331, Vadnais 4,546,906 and Schumann et al. 3,439,839 disclose other types of sealing cap for a caulking tube.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick C. Nicolas whose telephone number is (703)-305-6385. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,392

Art Unit: 3754

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mancene L. Gene, can be reached on 703-308-2696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FΝ

September 12, 2004

Frederick C. Nicolas

Page 5

Patent Examiner Art Unit 3754